	_	-
13:21:49	1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
	3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 06-466Z
	5	Plaintiff, Seattle, Washington November 19, 2009
		VS.
	6 7	DAVID R. MENDOZA,
	8	Defendant.
		SENTENCING HEARING VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
	9 10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	11	
	12	APPEARANCES:
	13 14	For the Plaintiff: Susan Roe Roger Rogoff Richard Cohen
		Ri Chai d' Conen
	15 16	For the Defendant, Joffeny Debinson
		For the Defendant: Jeffery Robinson Todd Maybrown Colette Tvedt
	17 18	Corette rveut
	19	Reported by: Nichole Rhynard, CCR, RMR, CRR Federal Court Reporter
	20	206. 370. 8504 ni chol e_rhynard@wawd. uscourts. gov
	21	m ener e_r nynar a ruanar deeedr ter gev
	22	
	23	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by Reporter on computer.
	23 24	produced by Reporter on Computer.
	2 <del>1</del> 25	
•	∆ ⊃	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
14:27:26 3	THE CLERK: Case No. 06-466Z, United States of
14:27:29 4	America versus David R. Mendoza. For the record, Counsel,
14:27:29 5	will you please stand and make your appearances.
14:27:36 6	MS. ROE: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Susan Roe on
14:27:36 7	behalf of the United States.
14:27:40 8	MR. ROGOFF: Roger Rogoff.
14:27:40 9	MR. COHEN: And Richard Cohen.
14:27:43 10	MR. ROBINSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
14:27:44 11	Jeffrey Robinson for Mr. Mendoza.
14:27:46 12	MS. TVEDT: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Colette Tvedt
14:27:48 13	for Mr. Mendoza.
14:27:50 14	MR. MAYBROWN: And good afternoon, Your Honor,
14:27:51 15	Todd Maybrown, also on behalf of Mr. Mendoza. I've been
14:27:54 16	helping with the related case. I have previously been before
14:27:59 17	the Court.
14:28:00 18	THE COURT: You want to keep your voice up when you
14:28:00 19	speak.
14:28:01 20	Are the parties ready to proceed with sentencing in this
14:28:01 21	matter?
14:28:04 22	MR. ROBINSON: We are, Your Honor.
14:28:04 23	MS. ROE: We are, Your Honor.
14:28:06 24	THE COURT: Mr. Robinson, did you want to say
14:28:09 25	something preliminary in nature before we started? You

started to say something then Mr. Mendoza came into --14:28:12 1 MR. ROBINSON: No, no, Your Honor. 14:28:15 2 THE COURT: All right. Did the defendant receive the 14:28:18 3 presentence report provided as of October 23 and the 14:28:24 4 sentencing recommendations of Probation? 5 14:28:28 We did, Your Honor. MR. ROBINSON: 14:28:30 THE COURT: All right. In addition, I received and 14:28:33 reviewed the plea agreement. Of course, I took the plea 8 14:28:34 agreement, as I recall. The sentencing memo of Mr. Mendoza 14:28:37 and various attachments, letters, all of which I have read, 14:28:41 10 the government's sentencing memo. 14:28:45 11 Anything else I should have received and I didn't? 14:28:49 12 No, Your Honor, not from Mr. Mendoza. 14:28:52 13 ROBI NSON: THE COURT: Are there any factual disputes of the 14:28:54 14 facts stated in the presentence report for purposes of 14:28:56 15 sentenci ng? 14:28:58 16 MR. ROBI NSON: Could I have just a second, Your 14:29:00 17 Honor? 14:29:00 18 THE COURT: Sure. 14:29:14 19 MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, there was a -- it was an 14:29:14 20 14:29:21 21 amendment to the presentence report dealing with what is now paragraph 116, the impact of the plea agreement. 14:29:25 22 is a clarification --14:29:28 23 THE COURT: Just a moment, did you say 116? 14:29:31 24 14:29:34 25 MR. ROBINSON: 116. And there is a clarification

14:29:43 1 14:29:46 2 3 14:29:53 14:29:58 14:30:02 14:30:06 14:30:10 14:30:14 14:30:18 14:30:21 10 14:30:24 11 14:30:27 12 14:30:31 13 14:30:34 14 14:30:38 15 14:30:43 16 14:30:48 17 14:30:51 18 14:30:53 19 14:30:54 20 14:30:56 21 14:31:00 22 14:31:03 23

14:31:06 24

14:31:08 25

that Ms. Moore added to the presentence report. I -- it's a bit technical, but I guess I would ask that the reference that Mr. Mendoza's offense may have involved at least 3,000 kilograms. I'd ask that that be stricken. Because I think the evidence is that Mr. Mendoza has acknowledged that he was involved in the importation of over 1,000 kilograms. There is a difference in opinion about exactly how much it was. But I don't think the government can indicate to you that they have proof beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Mendoza was involved in 3,000 kilograms.

I think Ms. Moore has appropriately indicated that this is a possibility. But I don't think that this report, which is going to follow Mr. Mendoza through the Bureau of Prisons, should have that kind of speculation in it about an amount of marijuana that was neither pled in the indictment nor proven nor that Mr. Mendoza has ever acknowledged. So my only request is that sentence be stricken.

What's the government's position?

MS. ROE: Your Honor, the government did not know that the defense was going to ask for this. The government was not prepared to bring evidence or proof of that. The government believes it's quite clear it was closer to 3,000 pounds than 1,000 pounds. Although the elements of offense are more than 1,000 pounds.

THE COURT:

When the Court looks at the facts and the other people,

there are 11 people serving prison terms who imported 14:31:10 1 2 marijuana or flew it over on Mr. Mendoza's behalf. And those 14:31:14 14:31:19 3 people's testimony in addition to the one of Ms. Hinkley (phonetic) acknowledged in the plea statement indicates it 14:31:23 was well in excess of a couple thousand pounds; it may have 5 14:31:27 been more than 3,000 pounds. 14:31:30 THE COURT: Pounds or kilograms? 14:31:32 MS. ROE: Kilograms. 8 14:31:36 THE COURT: I think the statement is ambiguous 14:31:37 14:31:41 10 because it talks about may have involved. It's the probation officer's role to talk to and learn from what witnesses would 14:31:47 11 And this is not a finding. Of course this is a 14:31:52 12 14:31:59 13 11(c)(1)(c) plea.

14:32:03 14

14:32:04 15

14:32:06 16

14:32:08 17

14:32:12 18

14:32:20 19

14:32:25 20

14:32:28 21

14:32:32 22

14:32:38 23

14:32:44 24

14:32:48 25

MR. ROBINSON: And, Your Honor, I do acknowledge that there was a clarification that Ms. Moore had --

THE COURT: I think it would be fair to say that there's substantial reason to believe that the offense involved substantially more than 1,200 kilograms of marijuana that he's acknowledged in his plea agreement. I'm going to leave paragraph 116 in its present form.

Let me just state before I hear from counsel that having reviewed the -- when I took the plea, I accepted the plea, but reserved and deferred on whether I would accept the plea agreement. The plea agreement of course is pursuant to paragraph 11(c)(1)(c) which binds me if I accept the plea

agreement to sentence the defendant, and that sentence is a 14:32:55 1 fixed amount. And that amount is 168 months. 14:33:00 2. 3 MR. ROBINSON: That's correct, Your Honor. 14:33:08 THE COURT: I'm satisfied having that reviewed all of 14:33:09 the materials, the plea agreement, the presentence report and 5 14:33:12 the sentencing memos that I should and I now do accept the 14:33:15 plea agreement and intend to sentence the defendant to the 14:33:19 amount that he has agreed to and the government has agreed 14:33:26 to. 14:33:30 14:33:30 10 Now, having said that, it may shorten in some way your remarks. 14:33:33 11 It does, Your Honor. ROBI NSON: 14:33:34 12 14:33:36 13 THE COURT: And that was the purpose of my telling you early. 14:33:38 14 ROBI NSON: Thank you, very much. 14:33:39 15 Your Honor, Mr. Mendoza's parents, Albert Emanuel and 14:33:40 16 Maria Teresa Emanuel are in the courtroom. His wife, and her 14:33:44 17 father are also in the courtroom. His children are young 14:33:50 18 enough that we didn't think it was appropriate for them to be 14:33:53 19 here. 14:33:56 20 14:34:01 21 I want to make my remarks brief. And I think the thing that I would like to say about David Mendoza is that on the 14:34:05 22 one hand he's got nobody to blame but himself for sitting 14:34:12 23 here under these circumstances. He had two prior convictions 14:34:16 24

of very similar behavior. He had the knowledge when he came

14:34:21 25

out of prison after his second conviction that continuing 14:34:25 1 that behavior would likely end up in a very, very strict 14:34:30 2 3 sentence, and that is what he has bought and paid for with 14:34:36 his behavior and is what he's here to accept today. 14:34:39 I think that there is a temptation sometimes to view an 14:34:44 individual like Mendoza -- like Mr. Mendoza as nothing more 14:34:48 than the accumulation of his convictions. 14:34:52 Let me just interrupt you for a moment. 8 THE COURT: 14:34:57 You said two convictions. He was convicted and sentenced by 14:34:59 14:35:03 10 Judge Rothstein to 70 months and then he got revoked in -- is that the second -- there's just one felony conviction; is 14:35:08 11 that right? 14:35:13 12 MR. 14:35:13 13 ROBI NSON: No, Your Honor, there are actually There is an earlier conviction I believe from the State 14:35:15 14 two. of Nevada. 14:35:20 15 14:35:21 16 THE COURT: All right. MR. ROBINSON: And --14:35:21 17 THE COURT: And that doesn't count because it's --14:35:23 18 all right. That was a juvenile conviction. Well, it wasn't, 14:35:28 19 he was age 25. But we don't score it because of -- all 14:35:32 20 right. Go ahead. 14:35:36 21 MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, the thing that I just want 14:35:39 22 to highlight for you is that I think Mr. Mendoza is a very 14:35:39 23 complicated man. On the one hand, he has imported marijuana 14:35:44 24

in extremely large quantities into the United States, and he

14:35:49 25

has made significant amounts of money from that.

14:35:53

14:35:55

14:36:00

14:36:05

14:36:10

14:36:14

14:36:18

14:36:22

14:36:28

14:36:33 10

14:36:37 11

14:36:38 12

14:36:42 13

14:36:46 14

14:36:51 15

14:36:55 16

14:37:01 17

14:37:06 18

14:37:11 19

14:37:14 20

14:37:15 21

14:37:18 22

14:37:23 23

14:37:26 24

14:37:30 25

1

3

On the other hand, he has assisted people in his community who are homeless. He has paid for reconstructive surgery for a person who needed facial reconstruction; somebody he had no familial relationship with. He has reached out to people who, quite frankly, have needed assistance and help and done it in a selfless way that boggles the mind to some extent to try and figure out how can a man who will act in that regard then turn his quite significant abilities and talents to something as wasteful as importing marijuana into the United States.

I can't give you and I can't satisfy for myself with a real complete explanation of how this came about for a third time. But what I can say to you is that including everything Mr. Mendoza has said to other judges who have been looking at him in similar circumstances, Mr. Mendoza understands where he is and why he's here. He understands that he is likely going to say goodbye to his parents through bars. Because they're elderly and they likely won't be around when he comes out of prison.

One thing that's different from his appearance in front of Judge Rothstein is that Mr. Mendoza has two children. And I've had the pleasure of meeting both of them and having never interacted with a Down syndrome child before, I can tell you that it was a powerful experience to be in the room

1 14:37:37 14:37:42 2 14:37:47 3 14:37:50 14:37:53 14:37:58 14:38:00 14:38:05 14:38:09 14:38:14 10 14:38:16 11 14:38:21 12 14:38:24 13 14:38:28 14 14:38:30 15 14:38:33 16 14:38:40 17 14:38:42 18 14:38:46 19 14:38:49 20

14:38:52 21

14:38:52 22

14:39:01 23

14:39:01 24

14:39:05 25

with that young child. And I know from talking to Mr. Mendoza, from talking to his parents and his wife, the depths of the love that Mr. Mendoza has for that child. And I know that he is suffering because he is not going to be with that child to provide the significant help that that child needs as that child grows up.

And I say again, not meant for sympathy, he's got nobody to blame but himself. But I think that this is a man who has a family that loves him, that supports him, and that will be there for him to the extent they can when he comes out of prison. Mr. Mendoza is 45 years old at this point, and so he is going to be in a significantly different place in his life when he comes out of prison after serving his sentence.

I thank the Court for accepting the plea agreement. I think that it is appropriate. It's something that was negotiated over a significant amount of time and effort with the government. And I would thank the government for negotiating with us. Judge Martinez conducted a settlement conference. And I think it was through those efforts that we're here today with the sentence we're asking the Court to impose.

I would indicate that we will ask the Court to accept the plea agreement as you've indicated that you have, but to not sign the judgment today. Because we are going to be asking you to set a briefing schedule on an issue that we think is

significant raised in both the government's memo and in our 14:39:08 1 2 memo alluded to in the presentence report, and that is the 14:39:11 3 issue of where Mr. Mendoza will serve his sentence. I think 14:39:15 the government believes that this is an issue that is not 14:39:20 before this Court; we disagree. And Mr. Maybrown has 14:39:24 actually been the person who has done the background work on 14:39:30 this issue. And so we would like to ask the Court to set a 14:39:32 briefing schedule. Because we believe that the Court has 14:39:36 both the responsibility and the authority to order that 14:39:40 14:39:43 10 Mr. Mendoza serve his sentence in the country of Spain. So if the Court has further questions about that issue, 14:39:47 11 with your permission I'd like to hand off to Mr. Maybrown 14:39:51 12 because I think he is more up on those issues than I am. 14:39:56 13 You better hand it off, because I'm not THE COURT: 14:39:59 14 much inclined to do that. 14:40:00 15 14:40:00 16 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. MR. MAYBROWN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I think 14:40:14 17 I've been asked to address this issue because I have a little 14:40:17 18 bit more familiarity having dealt with this issue as it 14:40:20 19 bubbled up through the forfeiture proceedings. 14:40:24 20 14:40:32 21 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Let's just start with the plea agreement, Mr. Maybrown. Is there anything in 14:40:33 22 the plea agreement that deals with the subject of where he 14:40:34 23 serves his sentence? 14:40:39 24 14:40:41 25 MR. MAYBROWN: I don't think the plea agreement

speaks to that --

14:40:42

14:40:42

14:40:45

14:40:47

14:40:50

14:40:54

14:40:57

14:41:03

14:41:05

14:41:06 10

14:41:10 11

14:41:14 12

14:41:18 13

14:41:23 14

14:41:27 15

14:41:29 16

14:41:31 17

14:41:35 18

14:41:42 19

14:41:47 20

14:41:48 21

14:41:50 22

14:41:54 23

14:41:57 24

14:42:04 25

1

2

3

THE COURT: Having taken the plea I think I asked Mr. Mendoza under oath was there was any other agreement of any kind that he had with the government other than what was contained in the written plea agreement. I do that every time, I'm sure we would find it in the record if we took the transcript. Assuming that's the case, that subject was not mentioned in any way, was it?

MR. MAYBROWN: I cannot say. I wasn't present when the Court took the plea. I would have to defer to Mr. Robinson on that point. But I think that this matter was an agreement between the executive bodies of the government of Spain and the United States and was before the Court through information that the Court had even today before the Court decided to accept the plea.

THE COURT: And your position is that there is some agreement between the executive branch of this government and the executive branch of the Spanish government that would require that he be allowed to serve his sentence in Spain?

MR. MAYBROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. And I also believe that there's some case law that I can point the Court to in briefing which would I think convince the Court that it is a condition of the extradition that the Court could enforce and would have the power to enter an order to give effect to that agreement.

14:42:05 1 14:42:08 2 14:42:12 3 14:42:14 14:42:19 14:42:20 14:42:23 14:42:26 14:42:29 14:42:33 10 14:42:36 11 14:42:38 12 14:42:42 13 14:42:45 14 14:42:46 15 14:42:46 16 14:42:50 17 14:42:52 18 14:42:55 19 14:42:58 20 14:43:02 21 14:43:05 22 14:43:08 23 14:43:12 24 14:43:13 25

Now, the disagreement that we have with government I think are twofold. One, I saw in pleadings that the government claims that there wasn't this agreement reached. And we've asked, I think Mr. Robinson asked for them to provide any documentation to support that. And I don't think that there's been a response. So first, I'd like to just at least have an opportunity to see what that information is based upon so we can present that to the Court so the Court would know whether, in fact, there was an agreement. It might be a very short issue to resolve the fact the government does have that information. But everything we've seen --

THE COURT: Well, if there was an agreement, couldn't you come back into court and say, Judge, there's an agreement?

MR. MAYBROWN: Well, I think we could, Your Honor, but I'm afraid that if the Court was to sign the judgment and sentence that the government would then argue that the Court has vested itself of jurisdiction, and at this point regarding the case and it seems to me that the most prudent way to handle this would be to see the briefing and decide whether at the same time the Court signed the judgment it felt it was appropriate to sign an order directing that Mr. Mendoza be turned over to the proper authorities.

THE COURT: Well, this issue's been on the table since I know before last spring. Because we had the question

14:43:20 1 14:43:26 2 3 14:43:32 14:43:38 14:43:40 14:43:44 14:43:48 8 14:43:54 14:44:02 14:44:07 10 14:44:10 11 14:44:17 12 14:44:21 13 14:44:23 14 14:44:26 15 14:44:28 16 14:44:33 17 14:44:37 18 14:44:41 19 14:44:43 20 14:44:47 21 14:44:52 22

14:44:55 23

14:44:58 24

14:45:01 25

of when he was coming back and what the conditions were and the like. Now, I took his plea quite a while ago. And we continued this hearing a couple of times and now you're telling me on the day of the hearing for the first time you don't want me to sign the judgment? And that there might be briefing on whether or not I should enforce some agreement which you haven't given me any, you know -- I can tell you that the materials I reviewed in early spring suggested that there was no agreement between the government and it was not a condition of his being returned to the United States.

But tell me again why it is that I should not sentence him today and sign the judgment?

MR. MAYBROWN: Your Honor, I would think that based on all the information that we have there was an agreement actually reached between the two governments and that was a specific condition of the extradition. And if that is accurate, and for the first time I heard recently in pleadings that I've seen from the government that they're disagreeing with that position.

But obviously, we think that if, in fact, we're correct then the Court would want to make an appropriate ruling consistent with the extradition, since, for example, if the extradition had been conditioned on him not serving more than ten years the Court would not want to impose a sentence of 14 years if, in fact, the Court knew and had proof that was part

14:45:07

14:45:08

14:45:11

14:45:16

14:45:19

14:45:20

14:45:26

14:45:30

14:45:33

14:45:33 10

14:45:38 11

14:45:40 12

14:45:42 13

14:45:43 14

14:45:46 15

14:45:48 16

14:45:49 17

14:45:51 18

14:45:55 19

14:45:58 20

14:46:03 21

14:46:07 22

14:46:11 23

14:46:14 24

14:46:20 25

1

2

3

of the agreement. And there were cases which deal with these issues which make it very clear that the Court does have the power and the authority to make a ruling in this regard.

Now, I understand that the government disagrees. I just thought that the most appropriate way to deal with it would be to set a reasonable schedule -- Mr. Mendoza is sentenced to a long sentence; he's going to be in custody regardless. So it doesn't seem like any prejudice could be available to either party if he was held pending the Court resolve the issue.

Now, if the Court Looks at the material and says, I don't think there's an agreement, we'd like to know about it. If the Court Looks at it and says, Yes, I think there is an agreement here, then I think the Court would want to say, What do I now that I believe that there was, in fact, an agreement.

THE COURT: Well, I would doubt very much whether I'd have jurisdiction to decide that issue. I mean, if there was an agreement between the two governments you can go into some federal court here or somewhere else and bring an appropriate action to enforce an agreement. He's been charged with criminal offense. And I'm going to sentence him and I'm going to sign that judgment today. So I understand your arguments. I just fail to believe that they merit my delaying the formal signing of the judgment.

Counsel, did you finish your remarks? 14:46:25 1 ROBI NSON: Your Honor, with your permission, I'll 14:46:28 2 just add one thing. This was not the subject of anything 14:46:30 having to do with the plea agreement. I want to be clear, 14:46:34 Mr. Mendoza in no way giving up his right to raise this issue 14:46:37 before you by signing that plea agreement. He did not agree 14:46:42 with the government, well, I won't raise this issue in front 14:46:45 of Judge Zilly in exchange for the plea agreement. 14:46:48 So while you are right; there is no agreement between the 14:46:51 parties about this issue, the plea agreement does not prevent 14:46:55 10 Mr. Mendoza from asking you to address this issue. 14:47:00 11 understand the Court's decision on that. I suppose that if 14:47:04 12 necessary and appropriate we can come back to the Court and 14:47:08 13 ask you to amend the judgment if we find the authority that 14:47:12 14 would be persuasive to ask you to do that. 14:47:16 15 Does your client wish to be heard? 14:47:18 16 THE COURT: MR. ROBINSON: I think just briefly, Your Honor. 14:47:23 17 THE COURT: Would you use the podium, please. 14:47:24 18 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 14:47:26 19 Good afternoon, sir. 14:47:26 20 THE COURT: THE DEFENDANT: Where am I going to start here? 14:47:36 21 me start with apologizing first to you. 14:47:38 22 There are people behind you --14:47:42 23 THE COURT: THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to get to them, please. 14:47:45 24 14:47:46 25 THE COURT: I'd start there.

14:47:51

14:47:53

14:47:58

14:48:05

14:48:11

14:48:14

14:48:17

14:48:23

14:48:30

14:48:33 10

14:48:38 11

14:48:41 12

14:48:43 13

14:48:48 14

14:48:53 15

14:48:56 16

14:48:58 17

14:49:01 18

14:49:05 19

14:49:11 20

14:49:14 21

14:49:18 22

14:49:25 23

14:49:31 24

14:49:35 25

1

2

3

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I've got a long road to hoe with these people behind me -- my parents, my family. A long time to at least begin to start some trust with them again. Hopefully, hopefully, they will open to be able to do that with me over these 14 years.

With you I know it's not easy to sentence a man to a considerable amount of time in prison. I apologize for my actions making you do that. I also need to apologize to Ms. Roe because I know I've been a thorn in your side for quite some time. And I want to apologize to her. I also want to say thank you. I didn't have an opportunity to say thank you to her at the time that we were going through arbitration. She attempted to get a lighter range for Your Honor to choose from. And that was shot down by her boss. But I want to thank her for attempting to do that for me. I'm not saying that she would have recommended a lower guideline range, but I suspect she would have gone for the high end. But she gave me the opportunity for that.

Again, I brought myself in front you. I suspect it's not often that somebody comes in front of a federal court twice in their lifetime. I'm sorry for this. I have no excuse for it. Thank you.

MS. ROE: Your Honor, he's an unusual defendant for today. This is a man who came to this country or was born in this country and had every benefit America had to offer. His

parents were immigrants, they were educated. He was raised 14:49:39 1 in a loving family. He received a good education and he had 14:49:42 2 3 the world at his feet. And he is now here in court for the 14:49:44 third time facing a drug trafficking charge. 14:49:47 The government agreed to significant benefits by this 14:49:51 drug -- by this 11(c)(1)(c) plea. He was facing a minimum 14:49:55 mandatory term of 20 years because of the prior sentencing 14:50:00 enhancements. Not only are we agreeing to dismiss that upon 14:50:02 imposition of the judgment, but we are agreeing that we will 14:50:06 14:50:09 10 not continue the investigation into Mr. Mendoza's other activities or into those of his wife. And that had been a 14:50:12 11 separate investigation brought about before we knew she was 14:50:15 12 14:50:18 13 married to him. 14:50:20 14 14:50:23 15 today. 14:50:28 16 14:50:28 17

He has agreed to forfeit four properties and I'm deferring to Mr. Cohen on that, but I believe all four have clear title

> THE COURT: I'm sorry, all four are?

MS. ROE: Have clear title today, I believe.

That is correct, Your Honor. MR. COHEN:

THE COURT: Thank you.

14:50:28 18

14:50:33 19

14:50:34 20

14:50:34 21

14:50:36 22

14:50:39 23

14:50:43 24

14:50:44 25

MS. ROE: And so we are asking the Court to impose 168 months and five years of supervised release. order the forfeiture of those properties as a term of the sentence.

> THE COURT: All right, Mr. Mendoza, you want to --

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, I apologize, I 14:50:49 1 just noticed on my sentencing it should be a Criminal History 14:50:49 2 3 Category of II. The sentencing range is correct, but I just 14:50:53 noticed on the sentencing recommendation green sheet listed 14:50:54 as a I. 14:50:57 THE COURT: And with the acceptance which you didn't 14:50:59 give what would that --14:51:03 THE PROBATION OFFICER: He would be 235 to 293 14:51:09 months. 14:51:13 37, II? I'm not sure that I found those 14:51:13 10 THE COURT: So I should do that quidelines for purposes of sentencing. 14:51:18 11 Let me just -- other than the one objection you don't 14:51:22 12 have any other objections to the statement of facts or to the 14:51:29 13 14:51:33 14 facts contained in the presentence report; is that right? MR. ROBINSON: I do not, Your Honor. I want to be 14:51:35 15 clear I think I may have misheard. I think Mr. Mendoza has 14:51:38 16 earned acceptance of responsibility --14:51:42 17 THE COURT: Yes. 14:51:43 18 MR. ROBINSON: -- and so I don't have any other 14:51:45 19 objection. 14:51:45 20 14:51:46 21 THE COURT: Well, I am going to adopt for purposes of the record facts stated in the presentence report. 14:51:50 22 find that he has earned acceptance of responsibility and with 14:51:52 23 that adjustment his total offense level is a 37 for purposes 14:51:59 24 14:52:01 25 of guideline computation. His Criminal History Category is

14:52:10 2

1

14:52:04

14:52:12 **3**14:52:17 **4** 

14:52:24 **5** 

14:52:32

14:52:36

14:52:44 9 14:52:50 10

14:52:53 11

14:52:59 12

14:53:05 13

14:53:09 14

14:53:18 15

14:53:20 16

14:53:26 17

14:53:31 18

14:53:35 19

14:53:45 20

14:53:49 21

14:53:52 22

14:53:57 23

14:53:59 24

14:54:02 25

II. And his range under the guidelines would be 235 months to 293 months.

But the parties have entered into an 11(c)(1)(c) plea arrangement, which I think under the circumstances in considering all the factors that I would normally have to consider and in determining an appropriate sentence it is a reasonable amount of time. It's a tremendous amount of time.

On the other hand, Mr. Mendoza, you truly did have all the opportunities in the world. You graduated, I think you were president of the Garfield High School. You went into college and got a degree. And then somewhere shortly thereafter you got involved in drugs. And it's tragic. It was tragic, you know, you should have listened to Judge Rothstein when she sent you to jail for 70 months. That's a long time.

And tragically, some of the people that you met, I think it was at Sheridan, come out with you and get into this new venture which now brings you before this Court for a sentence which is a tremendous amount of time. But you don't have anybody to blame but yourself.

And so without further ado, I'm going to as to Count 1 commit you to the Bureau of Prisons for a sentence of 168 months. Upon release from prison you'll serve a period of five years of supervised release subject to the standard conditions in each of the special conditions contained in the sentencing recommendations. I find the defendant does not

```
have an ability to pay a fine. I will waive the fine, assess
14:54:05
       1
           the $100 special assessment. And advise you, sir, that to
14:54:08
       2
       3
           the extent you have any rights of appeal that were not waived
14:54:17
           in the plea agreement. Any appeal must be filed within ten
14:54:20
           days of today when I sign the judgment in this case.
14:54:26
               Do you want a recommendation on placement given the issues
14:54:32
           that you raised earlier?
14:54:36
                    MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, I do not believe so.
       8
14:54:38
           I'll just leave it at that. I don't believe that we'd like
14:54:47
14:54:49 10
           the Court to make a recommendation.
                    THE COURT:
                                 All right.
14:54:52 11
                                    Your Honor, I should indicate that I
                    MR. ROBINSON:
14:54:54 12
           have in front of me a preliminary order of forfeiture.
14:54:55 13
           have reviewed that with Mr. Mendoza. I believe that these
14:54:59 14
           deal with the four properties that were the subject of the
14:55:04 15
           plea agreement. I think the order is in its proper form.
14:55:06 16
           I've signed it. And I'm having Mr. Mendoza sign it now
14:55:11 17
           before we hand it up to the Court.
14:55:15 18
                    MS. ROE: I've prepared a judgment which I've handed
14:55:25 19
           to Ms. Tvedt.
14:55:30 20
                                   Your Honor, I've reviewed the
                    MR. ROBINSON:
14:56:01 21
                       And I believe it correctly reflects your order.
14:56:01 22
                                 Any objection to the order of
14:56:06 23
                    THE COURT:
           forfeitures? I'm not sure if there's one or four.
14:56:08 24
14:56:11 25
                    MR. ROBINSON:
                                    There is one order of forfeiture.
                                                                          Ιt
```

```
relates to the four properties.
14:56:14
       1
                     THE COURT:
                                  Preliminary order?
14:56:16
       2.
                     MR. ROBINSON:
                                     Preliminary order, yes, Your Honor.
14:56:17
       3
                                  Any objection?
                     THE COURT:
14:56:18
                                     There is no objection to that.
                     MR.
                         ROBI NSON:
14:56:21
                                    Your Honor, if I can note. We will be
                     MR. MAYBROWN:
14:56:23
           dismissing motions that are pending in related forfeiture
14:56:25
           proceeding now that the Court has accepted this plea that was
       8
14:56:27
           a condition of the agreement. And I'll also be dismissing an
14:56:31
           appeal that's been pending in the Ninth Circuit relating to
14:56:37 10
           the same matters that's also a condition of the plea.
14:56:39 11
                                 Is there anything pending in my court?
14:56:41 12
                     THE COURT:
                                     There's a motion for reconsideration
                     MR. MAYBROWN:
14:56:45 13
           pending. It's been kicked off and so this Court ruled has on
14:56:45 14
            that.
                   And --
14:56:48 15
                     THE COURT: Can you have a motion to reconsider even
14:56:50 16
           pending if you've appealed it?
14:56:53 17
                     MR. MAYBROWN:
                                     Well, that was one of the issues --
14:56:54 18
           but because of new facts and, yes, you could.
14:56:55 19
                                  So you're going to dismiss the appeal and
14:56:58 20
                     THE COURT:
           you're going to dismiss any -- the action here in this Court?
14:56:59 21
                     MR. MAYBROWN:
                                     Exactly.
14:57:04 22
                     THE COURT: I have signed the preliminary order of
14:57:12 23
           forfei ture.
14:57:13 24
                Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to reflect that the
14:57:51 25
```

```
defendant has remained in the custody of the marshal.
                                                                     I've
14:57:53
       1
14:58:13
       2
           signed the judgment. It will be filed.
               Anything further to come before the Court?
       3
14:58:16
                    MR. ROBINSON:
                                   No, Your Honor.
14:58:17
                    MS. ROE: No, Your Honor.
14:58:19
                    THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess.
14:58:19
               (Proceedings concluded.)
       7
       8
       9
                                 CERTIFICATE
      10
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
      11
           the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
      12
      13
           /S/ Nichole Rhynard, CCR, CRR, RMR
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      20
      21
      22
      23
      24
      25
```